



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/229,526	04/19/94	PETERS	M 200730107

BAKER & BOTTS
THE WARNER
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2400

E2M1/0419

COURTNEY EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2316	8

DATE MAILED: 04/19/96

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/229,526

Applicant(s)

Peter et al.

Examiner

St. John Courtenay III

Group Art Unit

2316



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) St. John Courtenay III (3) _____

(2) James Arpin (202) 639-7735 (4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 17, 1996

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 8, 9, 22, and 23

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative inquired as to why claims 8, 9, 22, and 23 were objected to in the office action mailed Jan. 17, 1996. The Examiner stated that these claims were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected claim. Applicant's representative requested an interview summary form to clarify the record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.